



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/723,660

11/26/2003

Rick James Morse

D0932-00428 [VS-8855]

8809

8933 7590 11/24/2008  
DUANE MORRIS LLP - Philadelphia  
IP DEPARTMENT  
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196

EXAMINER

BUCKLE JR, JAMES J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3633

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/723,660	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORSE, RICK JAMES	
	<b>Examiner</b> JAMES J. BUCKLE JR	<b>Art Unit</b> 3633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JAMES J. BUCKLE JR. (3) JOESPH POWERS.

(2) ROBERT CANFIELD. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,465,547.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Attorney pointed out that the bottom and top of Fig. 3b, used in the rejection, was reversed. The examiners acknowledged and agreed. The examiners maintained that the reference read on the claims and identified and discussed the first and second planar portions as illustrated in annotated Fig. 3b attached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James J Buckle Jr/	/Robert J Canfield/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635
---------------------	---